

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,524	03/08/2001	Kunimasa Suzuki	204078US6	5017
22850	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/800,524	SUZUKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		F. Ryan Zeender	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in altion is required if the drawing is required.	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/4/2005</u> .	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date de of Informal Patent Application (PTo r:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: In the last line of the claim, it appears the language "said new product is stored" should be change to –said new product is to be stored--. Appropriate correction or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharp et al. in view of Salvo et al. (US6,341,271) and Official Notice.

Sharp et al. disclose order information receiving means for receiving via a computer global network 150 at least first and second order information of merchandise (See for example Col. 1, line 56); the first and second order information being formed based on respective first and second purchase requests received via respective first and second sales channels (i.e., first and second customers; See Col. 1, lines 54-58) that use the network (See for example Col. 3, lines 14-17); and stock control means for controlling a stock of said merchandise to be distributed to the first and second sales channels based on the first and second order information.

Sharp et al. lack the specific teaching of the second purchase request being received via a second sales channel that does not use the network; the supplier supplying the merchandise based on an actual sales condition; and the network being specifically the Internet utilizing web pages.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,524

Art Unit: 3627

Salvo et al. teach an e-commerce system utilizing web pages on the Internet to receive order information and further teach that it is well known to grasp an actual sales condition (i.e., real-time needs) and supply the merchandise based on the sales condition (See for example Col. 3, lines 40-62; and Col. 7, line 38 – Col. 8, line 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sharp et al. to include the supplier supplying the merchandise based on an actual sales condition, and the network being the Internet utilizing web pages, as taught by Salvo et al., in order to provide the proper inventory as needed (See for example Salvo et al., Col. 7, lines 41-42).

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it was well known in the art of commerce for a second sales channel (i.e., a second electronic path used by a second buyer of goods) to make a purchase request that does not utilize the network but instead uses mail, face-to-face, phone, or fax communication. Re claims 16-20, the "first order information forming device" would be the computer using the network (as disclosed in Sharp et al.) and the "second order information forming device" would be the receiving fax machine or phone.

Re claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, and 18: Sharp et al. in view of Salvo et al. lack the specific teaching of stopping the supply of merchandise due to the sales debut of a new product. However, it is well known in the art to stop the supply of a product when it is about to be replaced by a new product and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the step of stopping the

Application/Control Number: 09/800,524

Art Unit: 3627

supply of a product for a predetermined period before a new product is released, to

prevent the accumulation of unwanted inventory.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. With regards to applicant's argument

concerning the use of Official Notice, the argument is not convincing as the elements

are well known in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8351 and (571) 272-6790 after April 13, 2005. The examiner can normally be reached

on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's

phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

March 30, 2005

F. FYAN ZEENDER

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMPLE